

Dorsey's Column

The Caspian Sea as Battleground—Second Karabakh War as Cause or Consequence?


James M. Dorsey

Introduction

Populated at the time by fluent Hebrew speakers, the Israel desk of Armenia's foreign ministry waited back in 1991—in the immediate wake of the collapse of the Soviet Union—for a phone call that never came. The ministry was convinced that Armenia and Israel, with whom Armenia shared an experience of genocide, were natural allies. The ministry waited in vain. Israel never made the call. That shared experience could not compete with Armenia's Turkic nemesis, Azerbaijan, with which it was at war over Nagorno Karabakh, a majority ethnic Armenian enclave on Azerbaijani territory.

"The calculation was simple. Azerbaijan has three strategic assets that Israel is interested in: Muslims, oil, and several thousand Jews. All Armenia has to offer is at best several hundred Jews," said an Israeli official at the time.¹

Azerbaijan had one more asset: close political, security and energy ties to Turkey, which was supporting it in its hostilities with Armenia. As a result, the pro-Israel lobby and American Jewish organisations with longstanding ties to Turkey for years helped Ankara defeat proposals in




the U.S. Congress to commemorate the 1915 mass murder of Armenians. That has changed in recent years with strains between Turkey and Israel becoming more strident over issues such as the status of East Jerusalem, held by Israeli since 1967's Six Day War, the Palestinian question, Iran, political Islam, and Turkish President Recep Tayyip Erdogan's touting of implicitly anti-semitic conspiracy theories.

What has not changed is Israel's close ties to Azerbaijan that puts it on the same side as Turkey in renewed animosity between Armenia and Azerbaijan following the former's recent defeat in the Second Karabakh War of September-December 2020. This is a reflection of the Caspian basin's inextricable links to the greater Middle East's myriad conflicts and the fluid and fragile nature of regional alliances, partnerships and animosities across the Eurasian landmass.

Writing in a recent issue of *Baku Dialogues*, Svante Cornell emphasised this important point, noting the "gradual merger of the geopolitics of the South Caucasus and the Middle East" and going so far as to say that Azerbaijan, in particular, is "more closely connected to Middle Eastern dynamics than it has been in two centuries".²

Turkey, which has opportunistic partnerships with Russia and Iran, both littoral Caspian states that pushed for a ceasefire in Karabakh but were seen as empathetic to Armenia, and Israel, with its close ties to Moscow, rank among Azerbaijan's top arms suppliers. Indeed top aide to Azerbaijan's President Ilham Aliyev confirmed that the Azerbaijani military was using Israeli- and Turkish-made killer drones in the Second Karabakh War that began in late September 2020.³




Straddling Divides

If Israel and Turkey seem strange bedfellows, Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates appear to be in a bind. The two Gulf states have invested in Azerbaijan to counter Iranian influence in the Caspian but seem inclined to favour Armenia because of their animosity towards Turkey, which they accuse of interfering in internal Arab affairs. Saudi Arabia signalled where it stood by backing Armenian calls for a ceasefire within the first two days of the renewal of hostilities and giving voice to Armenia rather than Azerbaijan's side of the story in state-controlled media. ⁴

By the same token, Israeli ties to Azerbaijan, which has worked hard to deepen its ties to Iran ⁵, potentially put it at opposite ends with the UAE and Bahrain with which it recently established diplomatic relations in order to strengthen their alliance against Iran and Turkey. Nonetheless, this may be one instance in which finding Gulf states and Israel on different sides of a divide may work in the Jewish State's favour. Israeli sources suggest that the Second Karabakh War potentially creates an opportunity for back-channelling in which Israel could try to drive a wedge between Turkey and Iran.

"The arms shipments to Azerbaijan and the flare up in Nagorno Karabakh is a reminder that the periphery alliance may not be entirely dead," said prominent Israeli commentator Anshel Pfeffer in early October 2020. ⁶ Pfeffer was referring to the Israeli policy prior to the opening of relations with Arab states to maintain close relations with its neighbours' non-Arab neighbours in the absence of official Israeli ties to its Arab neighbours.




With ethnic Azerbaijanis, who account for up to a quarter of Iran's population and are influential in the country's power structure, Tehran, often perceived as empathetic to Armenia, walked a fine line calling for a ceasefire in the Second Karabakh War and offering to mediate an end to the fighting. Supreme Leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei is of ethnic Azerbaijani decent.

Iranians in nearby border areas stood on hilltops to watch the fighting in the distance.⁷ Security forces clashed with demonstrators in various cities chanting "Karabakh is ours. It will remain ours."⁸ Iran, in line with international law, has long recognised Nagorno Karabakh as being a part of Azerbaijan. Yet, the demonstrations serve as a reminder of environmental protests in the Iranian province of East Azerbaijan at the time of the 2011 popular Arab revolts that often turned into manifestations of ethnic Azerbaijani nationalism.⁹

Posturing on Sea and Land in and around the Caspian Basin

Even before the hostilities between Armenia and Azerbaijan erupted on the north-western inlands of the Caspian, Iran had stepped up its naval posturing on the basin's southern coast. Analysts like Jamestown's Paul Goble¹⁰ and Russian conservative writer Konstantin Dushenov¹¹, as well as Iranian naval commanders, raised the spectre of enhanced U.S. sanctions-busting military cooperation between Moscow and Tehran in the Caspian and beyond.

These and other analysts—in what appeared to be a repeat of unconfirmed reports of closer Chinese-Iranian cooperation that




stretched credulity but circulated for an extended period of time and were discussed widely in policy circles ¹²—suggested that Russia and Iran were planning extended military collaboration, including naval exercises in the Caspian as well as in the Gulf and the Strait of Hormuz.

The analysts, including the aforementioned Dushenov, who was reportedly jailed a decade ago on charges of antisemitic incitement ¹³, claimed further that Iran had offered Russia naval facilities at three ports—Chabahar, Bander Abbas, and Bander Busher—on the Islamic Republic’s Gulf coast, a move that would violate its foundational principle of no foreign presence on its soil. It would also contradict Iran’s proposal for a regional Middle Eastern security architecture that would exclude involvement of non regional powers. ¹⁴

Nevertheless, raising the spectre of a more assertive attitude, senior Iranian commanders stepped up visits to naval facilities and a shipyard on Iran’s Caspian coast where a destroyer is being repaired and modernised. The officials, including Iranian navy commander Rear Admiral Hossein Khanzadi, his deputy, Admiral Habibullah Sayari, and Admiral Amir Rastegari (who reportedly oversees naval construction), stressed the importance to Iranian national security of the Caspian on tours of facilities on the coast.

These officials also urged closer cooperation and joint naval exercises with other littoral states like Azerbaijan and Turkmenistan. Thus the “Caspian Sea is the sea of peace and friendship and we can share our military tactics with our neighbours in this region. We are fully ready to expand ties with neighbouring and friendly countries,” Rear Admiral




Khazandi said.¹⁵

The Iranian moves are about more than just strengthening the country's military presence in a basin that it shares with Russia, Azerbaijan, Turkmenistan, and Kazakhstan. A 2018 agreement among the littoral states, made necessary by the collapse of the Soviet Union, barred entry to the basin by military vessels of non-littoral states but failed to regulate the divvying up of the sea's abundant resources.

Closer naval ties with Caspian Sea states would allow Iran to leverage its position at a time when Central Asians worry about greater Chinese security engagement in their part of the world. The latter engagement threatens a tacit understanding in which Russia shouldered responsibility for regional security while China focused on economic development.

Increased Chinese engagement raises the spectre of the export of aspects of the People's Republic's vision of the twenty first century: an Orwellian surveillance state amid widespread anti-Chinese sentiment in countries like Kyrgyzstan and Kazakhstan as a result of China's brutal crackdown on Turkic Muslims in the troubled north western province of Xinjiang.

Hard hit by the economic fallout of the coronavirus pandemic, Central Asians are torn between wanting to benefit from Chinese willingness to reinvest projects related to the Belt and Road Initiative and their concerns about the way that enhanced Chinese influence could impact their lives.




Popular sentiment forced Kyrgyzstan early on in the pandemic to cancel a \$275 million Chinese logistics project. The Kazakh foreign ministry summoned the Chinese ambassador to explain an article published on a Chinese website that asserted that the Central Asian country wanted to return to Chinese rule.¹⁶ Kazakh media called for China and the United States to leave Kazakhstan alone after the Chinese foreign ministry claimed that the coronavirus had originated in U.S.-funded laboratories in the country.

Iranian efforts, boosted by the Indian-funded deep sea port of Chabahar that serves as a conduit for Indian exports to Central Asia, benefit in the margin from big Asian power rivalry; it has opened the region, including the Caspian basin, to greater competition with the Islamic Republic's chief Gulf opponents, Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates (UAE).

Iran hopes that geography and Central Asian distrust of past Saudi promotion of its ultra-conservative strand of Islam will work to its advantage. That hope may not be in vain. Tajik foreign minister Sirodjidin Muhridin, despite past troubled relations with the Islamic Republic, opted over a year ago to ignore a Saudi invitation to attend an Organisation of Islamic Cooperation conference in the kingdom and visit Iran instead.

Iran has since agreed to invest \$4 billion in the completion of a five kilometre long tunnel that will link the Tajik capital of Dushanbe with the country's second largest city, Khujand.¹⁷ That, however, has not put a halt to recurring strains. In September 2020, Iran summoned the Tajik




ambassador in Tehran in protest against the broadcast of an anti Iranian documentary on the Central Asian's state's state television channel.¹⁸

Saudi Arabia and the UAE have fared somewhat better in Azerbaijan and Uzbekistan. Saudi utility developer ACWA Power, in which China's state-owned Silk Road Fund has a 49 percent stake, and the UAE's Masdar or Abu Dhabi Future Energy Company agreed to invest in Azerbaijani renewable energy projects. ACWA Power also signed agreements in Uzbekistan worth \$ 2.5 billion for the construction of a power plant and a wind farm.

Perhaps Iran's strongest trump card is that by linking the Caspian to the Arabian Sea it can provide what the Gulf states cannot: cheap and short access to the Indo Pacific. Already, Iran is written all over Uzbek President Shavkat Mirziyoyev's transportation infrastructure plans. A decree issued in late 2017 identified various corridors as key to his plans, including the extension of a rail line connecting Uzbekistan's Termez to Afghanistan's Mazar i Sharif to the Afghan city of Herat, from where it would branch out to Iran's Bandar Abbas port, Chabahar—and Bazargan on the Iranian Turkish border.

“As Tashkent seeks to diversify its economic relations, Iran continues to loom large in these calculations. For Uzbekistan, not only do Iranian ports offer the shortest and cheapest route to the sea, but several future rail projects cannot be accomplished without Tehran's active participation,” wrote Central Asia analyst Umida Hashimova in January 2020.¹⁹




Iran, together with Russia and India, has been touting a sea and rail hook-up involving Iranian, Russian and Indian ports that would link South Asia to Northern Europe as a viable alternative to Egypt's Suez Canal and constitute an addition (or even alternative) to China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI).

In July 2020, Iranian and Indian officials suggested the route would significantly cut shipping time and costs from India to Europe.²⁰ About a month earlier, Senior Indian Commerce Ministry official B.B. Swain said the hook-up would reduce travel distance by 40 percent and costs by 30 percent.²¹

The Iranian Indian-Russian push is based on a two-decades-old agreement with Russia and India to establish an International North South Transport Corridor (INSTC) as well as more recent free trade agreements concluded by the Russia-dominated Eurasian Economic Union (EAEU) with Iran and Singapore. These agreements have fuelled Central, South, and Southeast Asian interest in the corridor even if the EAEU itself groups only a handful of countries: Russia, Belarus, Armenia, Kyrgyzstan, and Kazakhstan, another Caspian Sea state.

Exploiting the momentum, Russia has been nudging India to sign its own free trade agreement with the EAEU while the grouping is discussing an accord with ASEAN, which, as it happens, has just signed a Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership with China, Japan, South Korea, Australia, and New Zealand.

If successful, the Iranian push, backed by Russia and India, would




anchor attempts by Iran to project itself—as opposed to Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates—as the key Middle Eastern player in Russian and Chinese ploys for regional dominance.

Leveraging geography and Central Asian distrust of past Saudi promotion of its ultra-conservative strand of Islam, Iran expects that kickstarting INSTC will give it a significant boost in its competition with Saudi Arabia and the UAE for the region's hearts and minds. INSTC would also strengthen Iran's position as a key node in the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) on the back of a two-year-old rail link between western China and Tehran that runs across Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, and Turkmenistan.²²

The INSTC would link Jawaharlal Nehru Port, India's largest container port east of Mumbai, through the Iranian deep sea port of Chabahar on the Gulf of Oman, which is funded by India to bypass Pakistan, and the Islamic Republic's Caspian Sea port of Bandar e Anzali to Russia's Caspian harbour of Astrakhan at the mouth of the Volga and onwards by rail to Europe. The Iranian push was boosted by an agreement in March 2020 between Russia and India that would enable the shipment of goods through the corridor on a single invoice, a requisite for shippers to persuade banks to issue letters of credit.²³

Invoices and letters of credits may not make the difference as long as Iran asserts itself, and Russia seeks to fend off a Turkish challenge in the South Caucasus, its Chechen-Muslim soft underbelly in the North Caucasus, and potentially among Russia's Turkic Muslim minorities. Russia also seeks to fend off Turkish influence in Central Asia's former




Soviet republics, territories Moscow has long considered as its preserve.

“If it turns out that [...] we just hum and dither and do not force our southern neighbour to swallow his insolence along with his own teeth [...]; and if [it turns out that] we take sixteenth place in Azerbaijan, while Erdogan is number one; then what is our position in Kazakhstan, in Central Asia, in [...] Ukraine (considering Crimean Tatars and military supplies)? And what will our position be in Tatarstan, in Bashkiria, in Yakutia and Altai, where Turks also live? This is not theory, it is reality,” said in October 2020 prominent Russian commentator and head of the Moscow based Middle East Institute Yevgeny Satanovsky.²⁴

Conclusion: History Repeats Itself

Armenia’s humiliating defeat at the hands of an emboldened Ankara-backed Azerbaijan is likely to turn the Caspian basin into one more battlefield in multiple power struggles across the greater Middle East aimed at shaping a new regional order.

The Azerbaijani and Turkish sense of moral and military superiority and victory, coupled with Erdogan’s assertive regional policies, bodes ill for the need for Azerbaijan to balance its success with gestures and magnanimity. The latter are needed to rebuild confidence in Azerbaijani assurances that the safety, security, and rights of the Armenian population in Nagorno Karabakh will be safeguarded amid their fears of renewed displacement or even ethnic cleansing. It also throws into doubt longer-term relations between Russia and Armenia, where many feel betrayed by Moscow’s refusal to come to Armenia’s aid under a defense pact between the two



countries (Russia maintains a couple of military bases in Armenia under the pact),


Turkey's inevitable role in any negotiations to resolve the Armenian-Azerbaijani conflict adds to the balancing act that Russia and Turkey are performing to ensure that their alliance is not undermined by multiple regional conflicts in which the two countries back opposing sides.

Russia is likely to worry about pan-Turkish and nationalist voices demanding that Turkey capitalise on Azerbaijan's success to increase its influence in Central Asia, a region of former Soviet republics with ethnic, cultural, and linguistic links to Turkey.

The pan-Turkic daily *Türkiye*—a newspaper with the fourth largest circulation in Turkey—urged the government to leverage the Azerbaijani victory to create a military alliance of Turkic states: “The success in Karabakh has brought once again to the agenda one of the West's greatest fears: the Turan Army.”²⁵

Azerbaijan, which has become stronger with the military training, joint drills, and support with armed drones that Turkey has provided, has broken Armenia's back. This picture of success that has appeared has once again brought to life the hopes concerning a Turan Army, that would be the joint military power of the Turkic states,” *Türkiye* wrote.²⁶

So far, Turkey's bet that history would repeat itself appears to be paying off. The South Caucasus is the latest former Soviet region, after political crises in Belarus and Kyrgyzstan and the electoral defeat of pro-Russian forces in




Moldova, in which Moscow's ability to maintain stability is being challenged.

For now, Erdogan has strengthened his position in what will lead inevitably to a rejiggering of the balance of power in the Caucasus between not only Russia and Turkey, but also Iran, at a time when the trade-off for Israeli support of Azerbaijan is believed to be the Jewish state's ability to operate surveillance of the Islamic republic. "The message sent from Tel Aviv to Tehran is very clear: 'Syria is my backyard, and I will be in Azerbaijan, your backyard,' " said Sadik Öncü, a Turkey-based international relations analyst, referring to Iranian support for Syrian President Bashar al Assad.²⁷


Dr. James M. Dorsey is a senior fellow at Nanyang Technological University's S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies, an adjunct senior research fellow at National University of Singapore's Middle East Institute and co-director of University of Würzburg's Institute for Fan Culture. jmd@jmdonline.org

An earlier version of the article appeared on December 15, 2020, at: <https://mideastsoccer.blogspot.com/2020/12/the-caspian-sea-as-battleground.html>. It was originally published in Baku Dialogues, <https://bakudialogues.ada.edu.az/articles/the-caspian-sea-as-battleground-13-12-2020>.




Endnotes—Sources

1. Interview with the author.
2. Svante E. Cornell, 'Between Eurasia and the Middle East: Azerbaijan's New Geopolitics'. *Baku Dialogues*, September 2020, <http://silkroadstudies.org/publications/joint-center-publications/item/13388-between-eurasia-and-the-middle-east-azerbajjans-new-geopolitics.html>.
3. *The Times of Israel*, 'Armenia recalls ambassador from Israel to protest sale of weapons to Azerbaijan', 1 October 2020, www.timesofisrael.com/armenia-recalls-ambassador-from-israel-to-protest-sale-of-weapons-to-azerbaijan/.
4. Ephrem Kossaiy, 'Azerbaijan, Turkey must commit to peace in good faith, says Armenia's permanent representative to the UN Mher Margaryan'. *Arab News*, 1 October 2020, www.arabnews.com/node/1742976/world.
5. *Financial Tribune*, 'Rouhani Discusses Expansion of Ties With Regional Leaders', 1 August 2020, <https://financialtribune.com/articles/national/104617/rouhani-discusses-expansion-of-ties-with-regional-leaders>.
6. Anshel Pfeffer, 'Netanyahu and Erdogan in Unlikely Alliance Against Iran in Nagorno-Karabakh?'. *Haaretz*, 2 October 2020, www.haaretz.com/world-news/.premium-netanyahu-and-erdogan-in-unlikely-alliance-against-iran-in-nagorno-karabakh-1.9197671.
7. Katharina Willinger, *Twitter*, 5 October 2020, https://twitter.com/K_Willinger/status/1313008084848173056.
8. BBC News, *Twitter*, 1 October 2020, https://twitter.com/bbcpersian/status/1311696429434441729?ref_src=twsrc%5Etfw.
9. James M. Dorsey, 'Soccer match sparks nationalist protests in northwest Iran'. *The Turbulent World of Middle East Soccer*, 16 September 2011, <https://mideastsoccer.blogspot.com/2011/09/soccer-match-sparks-nationalist.html>.



10. Paul Goble, 'Russia, Iran Expand Military Cooperation Against US and Europe in Gulf'. *Eurasia Daily Monitor*, 1 October 2020, <https://jamestown.org/program/russia-iran-expand-military-cooperation-against-us-and-europe-in-gulf/>.
11. Konstantin Dushenov, 'Русско-Персидский военный альянс состоялся? (Has the Russian-Persian military alliance taken place?)'. *Zavtra*, 28 September 2020, https://zavtra.ru/blogs/russko-persidskij-voennij-al-yans-sostoyalsya?mc_cid=256ffb0b69.
12. James M. Dorsey, 'China could signal increased engagement with Iran but doesn't'. *The Turbulent World of Middle East Soccer*, 8 September 2020, <https://mideastsoccer.blogspot.com/2020/09/china-could-signal-increased-engagement.html>.
13. *World Jewish Congress*, 'Russian newspaper editor jailed for anti-Semitic incitement', 4 February 2010, www.worldjewishcongress.org/en/news/russian-newspaper-editor-jailed-for-anti-semitic-incitement.
14. *UN News*, 'At UN, Iran proposes 'coalition for hope' to pull Gulf region from 'edge of collapse'', 25 September 2019, <https://news.un.org/en/story/2019/09/1047472>.
15. *Mehr News Agency*, 'Iran ready to share military tactics with Caspian Sea states', 15 April 2020, <https://en.mehrnews.com/news/157632/Iran-ready-to-share-military-tactics-with-Caspian-Sea-states>.
16. See www.sohu.com/.
17. Catherine Putz, 'Tajikistan Tilts Back Toward Iran'. *The Diplomat*, 1 July 2019, <https://thediplomat.com/2019/07/tajikistan-tilts-back-toward-iran/>.
18. *Mehr News Agency*, 'Iran summons Tajik envoy over baseless accusations', 25 September 2020, <https://en.mehrnews.com/news/163954/Iran-summons-Tajik-envoy-over-baseless-accusations>.
19. Umida Hashimova, 'Why an Iran-US Conflict Would Be a Disaster for Uzbekistan'. *The Diplomat*, 14 January 2020, <https://thediplomat.com/2020/01/why-an-iran-us-conflict-would-be-a-disaster-for-uzbekistan/>.



20. RTOnline Watch, ‘‘Iranian alternative to the Suez Canal’’ shipping lane (شاهد ممر الشحن ‘‘الإيراني البديل لقناة السويس’’), July 2020, <https://arabic.rt.com/business/1131417-قناة-السويس-شحن-1131417>.
21. Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce & Industry, ‘Way-ahead for International North South Transport Corridor in Post COVID-19 Endemic’, *YouTube*, 16 June 2020, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jwiRiXs8nU4>.
22. *South China Morning Post*, ‘The railway to Iran’, Undated, <https://multimedia.scmp.com/news/china/article/One-Belt-One-Road/iran.html>.
23. James M. Dorsey, ‘Flying Under the Radar: Iranian Alternatives to Suez and Belt and Road’. *The Turbulent World of Middle East Soccer*, 22 July 2020, <https://mideastsoccer.blogspot.com/2020/07/flying-under-radar-iranian-alternatives.html>.
24. ARMAGEDDONYPH (Yevgeny Satanovsky), *Telegram*, 2 October 2020. <https://t.me/s/oldhellboy>.
25. Turan is the term used by pan-Turkists to describe Turkic Central Asia.
26. The Middle East Media Research Institute, ‘Amid Azerbaijan-Armenia Fighting, Turkish Dailies Propose ‘‘Turkic NATO’’: ‘‘The Turkic States Must Unite’’’, 9 November 2020, www.memri.org/reports/amid-azerbaijan-armenia-fighting-turkish-dailies-propose-turkic-nato-turkic-states-must-unite.
27. Interview with the author.

NB: do you have any comments on Dorsey’s article? Please send these to info@ethnogeopolitic.org or by contactform at www.ethnogeopolitics.org.